Dynamics of marine bacterial and phytoplankton populations using multiplex liquid bead array technology
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Summary
Heterotrophic bacteria and phytoplankton dominate the biomass and play major roles in the biogeochemical cycles of the surface ocean. Here, we designed and tested a fast, high-throughput and multiplexed hybridization-based assay to detect populations of marine heterotrophic bacteria and phytoplankton based on their small subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. The assay is based on established liquid bead array technology, an approach that is gaining acceptance in biomedical research but remains underutilized in ecology. End-labelled PCR products are hybridized to taxon-specific oligonucleotide probes attached to fluorescently coded beads followed by flow cytometric detection. We used ribosomal DNA environmental clone libraries (a total of 450 clones) and cultured isolates to design and test 26 bacterial and 10 eukaryotic probes specific to various ribotypes and genera of heterotrophic bacteria and eukaryotic phytoplankton. Pure environmental clones or cultures were used as controls and demonstrated specificity of the probes to their target taxa. The quantitative nature of the assay was demonstrated by a significant relationship between the number of target molecules and fluorescence signal. Clone library sequencing and bead array fluorescence from the same sample provided consistent results. We then applied the assay to a 37-day time series of coastal surface seawater samples from the Southern California Bight to examine the temporal dynamics of microbial communities on the scale of days to weeks. As expected, several bacterial phytypes were positively correlated with total bacterial abundances and chlorophyll a concentrations, but others were negatively correlated. Bacterial taxa belonging to the same broad taxonomic groups did not necessarily correlate with one another, confirming recent results suggesting that inferring ecological role from broad taxonomic identity may not always be accurate.

Introduction
Planktonic microbial communities, with cell numbers on the order of one million cells per ml, play a central role in controlling carbon cycling in the surface ocean (Azam, 1998; Azam and Long, 2001). These communities consist of a diverse assemblage of prokaryotes and eukaryotic protists, often with hundreds or thousands of species present in a single ml of seawater. Understanding the dynamics of these communities requires that we can identify and quantify the abundance of component taxa. Although large phytoplankton cells can often be identified by morphological features, smaller eukaryotes as well as bacteria and archaea are identified primarily by their DNA sequences, typically based on small-subunit ribosomal RNA genes (Woese et al., 1985). Even for large phytoplankton, cultivation-independent characterization by rDNA sequencing is now part of the standard methodology to describe organisms (Metfies et al., 2006). The use of these (and other) genes has further led to the design of methods for the rapid characterization of microbial community structure. Some of the most widely used include denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE, Muyzer et al., 1993), terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (TRFLP, Liu et al., 1997), and automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis (ARISA, Brown et al., 2005). These methods are able to separate (by electrophoresis) different ribosomal RNA types (ribotypes) based on sequence length or base pair composition and allow rapid fingerprinting of microbial communities for comparison across space and time.

New, and potentially faster and more high-throughput microbial community fingerprinting methods are now being developed, based on competitive hybridization between environmental DNA (or RNA) and target oligonucleotides. One approach utilizes solid microarray technology that detects successful hybridization with
fluorescence (Brodie et al., 2006) or electronic signal (Barlaan et al., 2007). Another approach utilizes liquid bead array technology followed by fluorescence detection by flow cytometry (Spiro et al., 2000; Chandler et al., 2006). In the latter method, fluorescently labelled PCR product or nucleic acid extract is hybridized to oligonucleotide probes attached to polystyrene beads that themselves contain different ratios of two fluorescent dyes. Each type of bead is conjugated to a distinct oligonucleotide that acts as a probe for a specific taxon. The mixture is then passed through a flow cytometer able to quantify the amount of hybridized PCR product (or labelled DNA or RNA) and the type of bead. This offers several advantages over solid phase arrays, including favourable liquid hybridization kinetics, the capacity to analyse hundreds of samples in a short amount of time, and the ability to quickly alter the assay (by adding or removing bead types and their associated probes).

Thus far, multiplex liquid array technology has been used in environmental microbiology with PCR for the detection of fungal (Diaz et al., 2006) and bacterial pathogens (Baums et al., 2007). It has also been used directly with extracted RNA to examine metal contaminated soil (Chandler et al., 2006). In marine ecology, this technology has been used for the detection of abundant phytoplankton groups, with direct labelling of extracted DNA and no PCR step (Ellison and Burton, 2005). Here, we report on the development of a PCR-based liquid array method to detect bacteria and eukaryotes in coastal marine samples. We first sequenced 16S and 18S clone libraries from water samples and identified bacterial and phytoplankton target taxa. We then designed and tested probes for those taxa in multiplex format, after which we applied the assay on DNA extracts from a time series to illustrate its usefulness for high-throughput population dynamics studies.

Results

Clone libraries and probe design

Using universal rRNA primers amplifying both 16S and 18S ribosomal genes, a total of 449 clones were sequenced from the four libraries, comprising 394 bacterial, 1 archaeal, 10 chloroplast and 44 eukaryotic sequences. This indicates that the universal primers were successful in amplifying all domains of life; the dominance of bacterial sequences over the other domains and their relative abundances seem consistent with the coastal marine surface-water origin of the samples. The bacterial sequence data were dominated by α- and γ-Proteobacteria as well as cyanobacteria and Bacteroidetes. There were also several sequences from the Verrucomicrobia, Firmicutes and Actinobacteria groups. Many eukaryotic sequences were similar (or identical) to copepods and dinoflagellates, while some sequences were most similar to uncharacterized eukaryotes from the alveolate and stramenopile groups. Chloroplasts were from diatoms, dinoflagellates and chlorophytes. Although many taxa, particularly bacteria, were shared among several of the libraries, there were notable differences among the four libraries. While ecologically important, a detailed analysis of these differences is beyond the scope of this report.

Bacterial probes were designed for groups that included at least one of our clones and one or more sequences from GenBank and/or from the Global Ocean Survey metagenomic database. In this study we focused on heterotrophic bacteria as bacterial autotrophs are part of a separate ongoing study (V. Tai, R. Burton and B. Palenik, unpubl. data). The heterotrophic bacterial taxa targeted in this study can be divided into two general groups. The first included 16S phylotypes identified as being abundant in surface temperate marine waters by previous studies (Brown et al., 2005; Rusch et al., 2007 and others), including members of the SAR11, SAR86, SAR116, Roseobacter, Bacteroidetes and Acidimicrobia groups. We targeted these ubiquitous and abundant taxa because their numerical dominance suggests they are the primary mediators of biogeochemical reactions in these environments. The second group of bacterial targets included phylotypes less commonly encountered in rRNA databases but found abundant in our clone libraries from algal bloom waters and from other studies of algal blooms in temperate waters. We targeted this second group because of our interest in algal–bacterial interactions in mediating carbon flux and algal bloom dynamics. Target taxa (from either group) did not always comprise the same level of 16S nucleotide diversity because the degree of 16S diversity is not constant among different phylogenetic groups. For example, one probe might target a group of sequences that share 99% similarity at the 16S level, while another probe might target a group sharing 97% similarity. We report only probes that exhibited a signal to noise ratio over 20 and little to no non-specific signal from clones outside the target group (Table 1). Signal to noise was defined as the ratio of the fluorescence signal from the target clone divided by the fluorescence signal from a negative PCR control reaction. In terms of signal strength, the bacterial probes could be divided into two types. The first, consisting of 10 probes, exhibited acceptable (~20) or better signal/noise and no non-specific signal from tested clones outside the target taxon (an example is shown in Fig. 1A). The second group, consisting of 16 probes, exhibited non-specific signal from two clones or less with a signal of at most 50% of the positive signal (an example is shown in Fig. 1B). An additional 12 probes that exhibited excessive non-specific signal (or no signal with
Table 1. Summary statistics for probes specific for coastal marine bacterial taxa. Probes were tested against a suite of 70 clones of bacterial (n = 66) and eukaryotic (n = 4) origin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe #</th>
<th>Clone name</th>
<th>GenBank accession No.</th>
<th>Probe sequence</th>
<th>General taxon</th>
<th>Closest GenBank accession No. (% 16S similarity)</th>
<th>Probe 16S diversity</th>
<th>Signal/noise</th>
<th>Non-specific signal/noise</th>
<th>Non-specific clone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2B_E02</td>
<td>EU733966</td>
<td>ATTTCTCCAGTTTTCCTATATGT</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>AF001652 (99.9)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2A_H01</td>
<td>EU733936</td>
<td>TCCTATGATCGCCAGGACTCC</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>AF235120 (99.3)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>2C_H10</td>
<td>EU733850</td>
<td>CAAGGATCTCTGTGAAATTCTTATAG</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>AF354611 (99.7)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2A_F12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2A_F12</td>
<td>EU733923</td>
<td>TTGAGCCTCAAGATCCTCTCGTA</td>
<td>Beta (OM43)</td>
<td>AY534843 (100)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2A_F09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>2A_D08</td>
<td>EU733896</td>
<td>TGCTCCAAAGGGGACACTCTCAT</td>
<td>Gamma (SAR86)</td>
<td>AFO01650 (99.9)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2B_A11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1_E09</td>
<td>EU734137</td>
<td>GCGGCTAGAACGTTTTCATCTGT</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>DQ009262 (99.0)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1_C03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1_D02</td>
<td>EU734118</td>
<td>CGAAGGCTCTAATCTTATGTCA</td>
<td>SAR11</td>
<td>DQ009203 (99.3)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2C_F10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>2B_H01</td>
<td>EU733979</td>
<td>TCGGATCCGGCCAGAGTAACG</td>
<td>Roseobacter</td>
<td>AJ400034 (99.6)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2A_A04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2B_E05</td>
<td>EU733969</td>
<td>CACCTCTGTGGTAGTAGGATG</td>
<td>Roseobacter</td>
<td>DQ009294 (99.4)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2D_A01</td>
<td>EU733995</td>
<td>AGCCAGATCTCTGGTGCTCGAT</td>
<td>Roseobacter</td>
<td>EU016466 (99.8)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2A_D08, 2A_F09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2B_A11</td>
<td>EU733724</td>
<td>ACCAAATAGCGAGCTGAAGGCTG</td>
<td>Roseobacter</td>
<td>AF406523 (99.4)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2C_H10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1_E07</td>
<td>EU734135</td>
<td>TCTTCCAGAGACCTCATTGCA</td>
<td>SAR116</td>
<td>DQ009271 (98.9)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1_D01, 1_E04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2A_E11</td>
<td>EU733911</td>
<td>CTAGYCTGTTTCCAAACTTGGCT</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>DQ289523 (100)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2D_C12</td>
<td>EU734027</td>
<td>GAAGGAAGTGCTGATCAAGGCGG</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>AY274866 (99.6)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2A_F09</td>
<td>EU733921</td>
<td>AGAAAGACACATCTCTGACATGGC</td>
<td>Polaribacter</td>
<td>DG009115 (99.8)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2B_B04</td>
<td>EU733954</td>
<td>GATCTCTTCTGATCAACTCTTG</td>
<td>Polaribacter</td>
<td>AY082816 (99.9)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>2B_H07</td>
<td>EU733768</td>
<td>GGTCTATCAGCTGGCTCCACT</td>
<td>Polaribacter</td>
<td>AJ400347 (99.6)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2B_A11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>2D_B04</td>
<td>EU734009</td>
<td>ATCTCCTAAAGCTGTGACATCCATT</td>
<td>Polaribacter</td>
<td>AJ400343 (99.9)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2A_H01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2A_D09</td>
<td>EU733897</td>
<td>AAAGTTGCTCTGCTGGTCGTCAC</td>
<td>Polaribacter</td>
<td>AM279180 (99.6)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2A_F09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2A_F10</td>
<td>EU733922</td>
<td>TTTGCGGGAAGATAGCAGAAAGCATGT</td>
<td>Verrucomicrobiaceae</td>
<td>AY135670 (99.6)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>2B_F01</td>
<td>EU733970</td>
<td>TTTGCTGATCTGATCAAGGCTTGG</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>AJ575515 (100)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2B_E02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>2B_G05</td>
<td>EU733759</td>
<td>GTTCCAGAAACGCTCCAGGTATG</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>AB378721 (99.9)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>1_F01</td>
<td>EU734139</td>
<td>AGTCTCAGAAGATAGCAGAGGCTG</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>EF574537 (99.2)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2A_A05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1_E02</td>
<td>EU734130</td>
<td>CTGAAAGAACTTATGCTGAAATC</td>
<td>Rickettsiales</td>
<td>FJ744822 (98.2)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>2B_F11</td>
<td>EU733757</td>
<td>AATAGCTATCTGCTRACTAATGAAAC</td>
<td>Flavobacterium</td>
<td>AM279187 (99.5)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2A_H01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>2A_C09</td>
<td>EU733885</td>
<td>CTCCTCCAGGGGAGAAGACCTCAT</td>
<td>Roseobacter</td>
<td>FJ826501 (99.3)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2B_H01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Probe number is the Luminex xMAP® designation.
b. Indicates the amount of 16S diversity within the taxon targeted by each probe (1 bp mismatch allowed on probe sequence).
c. Signal to noise ratio of tested clones that do not belong to the target group.
d. Clone(s) that gave non-specific signal above the signal from a negative PCR reaction.
e. This clone has a 16S sequence 96% similar to the target clone.
their intended target) are not reported and were discarded from any further analyses. In addition, we designed and tested several probes that showed positive signal with their intended targets but did not show signal from field samples (Table S1).

Due to the short length of the PCR product (desirable for probe hybridization), it was difficult to design more than one probe for each taxon. We successfully achieved this for one of the bacterial target taxa to demonstrate reproducibility and specificity of the assay. Probe #45 specific to clone 2A_D08 (γ-Proteobacteria, SAR86 group) demonstrated good signal against its target clone as well as clone 1_E04, which has identical probe binding sequence and is 99% similar in 16S sequence (Fig. 1C). Probe #73 is also specific to clone 2A_D08, located 13 bp downstream, but has a 3 bp mismatch with clone 1_E04. As expected, this probe gives a positive signal with clone 2A_D08 but very little signal with clone 1_E04 (Fig. 1D).

Eukaryotic probes were designed to differentiate phytoplankton genera commonly found off the Southern California coast as well as smaller eukaryotes with cultures available (Table 2). Due to the smaller number of cultures and clones to test specificity, probes that displayed any non-specific signal were not studied further. For example, a probe theoretically specific for the dinoflagellate genus *Alexandrium* was discarded due to non-specific signal with several other dinoflagellates (data not shown). Successful probes targeted large dinoflagellates (genera *Lingulodinium*, *Scripsiella*, *Akashiwo*, *Prorocentrum* and *Ceratium*), large diatoms (*Chaetoceros*, *Cylindrotheca* and *Skeletonema*) and the smaller autotrophic protists *Micromonas* and *Ostreococcus*. In general, probes were specific at the genus level, although several exceptions occurred, particularly among the diatoms (Table 2).

**Sensitivity and specificity**

The first step to determine the ability of the method to quantify different targets simultaneously was to mix known quantities of PCR products from single clones before analysis with the Luminex. Clones 2A_F06 and 2D_C12 were amplified separately, their PCR products quantified, and analysed with the Luminex on their own.

---

**Fig. 1.** Representative data from multiplex Luminex assay for bacteria using up to 70 clones as targets (arrow indicates target clone).

A. Probe #3 specific for clone 2B_E02 and relatives shows no non-specific signal among the clones tested.
B. Probe #7 specific for clone 1_E09 and relatives shows some non-specific signal with clone 1_CO3 (signal/noise = 9).
C. Probe #45 specific to clone 2A_D08 also hits clone 1_E04 which has identical probe sequence and is 99% similar in 16S sequence.
D. Probe #73 specific to clone 2A_D08 does not give signal with clone 1_E04.
(including 2D_C12 in two different concentrations), as well as mixed together in equal concentrations. Variability among replicate PCR reactions was low (CV = 7–10%), demonstrating good reproducibility. Luminex signal was consistent whether the target clones were analysed separately or mixed together (Fig. 2), and the quantified target of lower concentration exhibited lower Luminex signal, as expected.

The next step to validate the assay for use with mixed community DNA was to investigate the potential to follow the population dynamics of individual target taxa within a mixed assemblage. The experimental design was to make serial dilutions of two clones over a range of concentrations that we would expect to encounter in natural samples. We spiked these dilutions into DNA extracted from a field sample (rather than simply into sterile water) before PCR to mimic conditions that might affect the amplification. This also allowed us to control for well-to-well variation in overall fluorescence that we believe to be caused by variations during the PCR as well as during the hybridization and washing steps of the Luminex assay. For example, variable staining intensity was partially caused by some liquid being left in the wells after washing steps due to the gentle manual pipetting necessary to avoid removing beads. To account for these variations, these standard curves (as well as all field data) were normalized according to the overall fluorescence signal of the well, calculated by adding the Luminex fluorescence values of all the bead colours in each well.

We also found that amplifying with too many cycles of PCR (> 30) resulted in poor dynamic range of standard curves and potentially overestimated the abundance of rare members of the community (data not shown). Thus, we used 25 cycles of PCR for these standard curves and the field sample analyses. Over the range of \(10^4\)–\(10^8\) rDNA copies, the Luminex assay resulted in remarkably consistent reproducibility (CV ranging from 2% to 12%, with one exception; see below) and a linear relationship between log-transformed target abundance and normalized fluorescence signal (Fig. 3). For one of the clones (2A_F12, Fig. 3B), signal inhibition occurred at the highest concentration tested (\(10^8\) rDNA copies; CV = 38%). Repeats of this experiment resulted in the same finding, suggesting the presence of a PCR inhibitor in the clone 2A_F12 DNA sample.

After testing specificity and sensitivity of the probes with single clones or mixtures of two clones, the subsequent step was to validate the multiplex Luminex assay with known field samples. The four Scripps Pier water samples originally used to construct clone libraries were analysed with Luminex but results were not always consistent with sequencing data, with sometime high signal for target taxa that were rare or below detection by clone library sequencing, and vice versa (data not shown). One caveat

---

### Table 2. Summary statistics of eukaryotic probes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bead colour</th>
<th>Taxa with 1 bp mismatch</th>
<th>Other target taxa (no mismatches)</th>
<th>Signal/noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Emiliania</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Cylindrotheca</td>
<td>Pseudo-nitzschia, Navicula, Craticula, Babesia</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Lingulodinium</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Chaetoceros</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Scrippsiella</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Micromonas</td>
<td>Mantoniella, Ostreococcus, Bathycoccus</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Pyramimonas</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Ceratium</td>
<td>C. tenue, C. longipes</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Akashiwo</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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of this analysis is that we had relatively few sequences per library (<150), implying that further sequencing would likely produce more target taxa. Another likely reason, however, was that the PCR primers used for sequencing were not the same as those used for the Luminex assay, as 1 kb amplicon was useful for taxonomic identifications but proved to be too large for efficient hybridization in the bead assay. We hypothesized that PCR primer bias could be at least partially responsible for the observed inconsistency between Luminex signal and sequence data. Therefore, we sequenced one additional library (95 clones, from sample 5/21/07) using the same primers as for the Luminex assay (80 bp instead of 1 kb amplicons). We combined the two libraries from sample 5/21/07 and determined which bacterial taxa targeted by the Luminex assay were detected by sequencing and which were not. We considered a targeted taxon present in the sample if any sequences matched the probe sequence with 1 bp mismatch or less (using a less stringent 2 or 3 bp mismatch criterion did not significantly change the analysis). The hypothesis was that if a taxon is not detectable by sequencing, it should have a low Luminex fluorescence signal. Conversely, if a taxon is detectable by sequencing, it should have a higher Luminex signal. The taxa not detectable by sequencing exhibited significantly lower Luminex fluorescence signal than taxa detectable by sequencing (Fig. 4). There were no false positives (taxa not detected by sequencing with high Luminex signal), while taxa detected by sequencing exhibited a wide range in Luminex signal.

Field samples

Total bacterial counts during the sampling period ranged from 1 to 5 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ (Fig. 5A) and extracted chlorophyll a from 2 to 12 µg l⁻¹ (Fig. 5B). Not unexpectedly, extracted chlorophyll a and bacterial abundances were positively correlated (r = 0.54), consistent with bottom-up control of bacterial growth. The data revealed temporal dynamics over the sampling period (Fig. 5C): some taxa were more abundant towards the end of the sampling period while others more abundant towards the beginning (red = high relative abundance, green = low relative abundance). Taxa were grouped by a hierarchical cluster analysis (Fig. 5D) based on the similarity in their abundance patterns over the sampling period. We further performed pairwise correlation analyses to determine taxa with similar (positive correlation) and opposite (negative correlation) distributions over time, as well as correlations with bacterial abundances and extracted chlorophyll a. Fifty-six pairwise correlations had correlation coefficients greater than 0.4 (Fig. 6, highlighted in green), representing taxa with similar temporal distributions. Sixty-six pairwise correlations had correlation coefficients less than −0.4 (Fig. 6, highlighted in red), representing taxa with opposite temporal distributions. Trends of positive and negative temporal interactions existed among bacteria, among phytoplankton, and between bacteria and phytoplankton. Six bacterial taxa were positively correlated with total bacterial abundances and four bacterial and two algal taxa were negatively correlated with bacteria (Fig. 6, column 1). A similar trend was found with extracted chlorophyll a (Fig. 6, column 2): eight bacterial and one algal taxon were positively correlated with chlorophyll, and eight bacterial and three algal taxa were negatively correlated with chlorophyll.

Discussion

Using technology previously established in biomedical research (Dunbar, 2006) and environmental pathogen detection (Baums et al., 2007; Tracz et al., 2007), we...
have developed a hybridization-based assay allowing the detection of bacteria and phytoplankton in marine coastal waters. The assay currently targets 26 bacterial and 10 eukaryotic ribosomal RNA phylotypes, but can be easily expanded as more probes are designed and tested against new targets.

The method described in this report offers several potential advantages for monitoring microbial community dynamics across many samples. First, it targets both bacterial and eukaryotic taxa. To our knowledge, there exists no other molecular fingerprinting method currently used to detect bacterial and eukaryotic microbes concurrently (the most recent version of the Phylochip is an exception; E. Brodie, pers. comm.). Since these two groups of organisms interact very closely in aquatic ecosystems (Cole, 1982; Azam, 1998), such a method is clearly warranted to test ecological questions about their interactions. Further, as we recover more gene sequence data from the marine (and other) environments, the Luminex bead array can be quickly altered by adding one or several new probes to an existing assay. Additional probes can be designed to detect other organisms or more specific groups within currently targeted taxa. Other segments of the 16S rRNA gene can also be amplified to provide different phylogenetic resolution. This versatility is especially valuable when working with highly dynamic ecosystems such as the coastal ocean, where new information from deep sequencing efforts (Sogin et al., 2006; Rusch et al., 2007) adds to our sequence database on a monthly basis.

Two further advantages offered by the Luminex are high replication and high throughput capabilities. Hundreds of beads of each type (the equivalent of having hundreds of identical spots on a microarray) are assayed.

Fig. 3. Median Luminex fluorescence signal minus control, normalized to array fluorescence (see text) plotted against the number of target gene copies present before PCR for clones 2D_B04 (A) and 2A_F12 (B) spiked into seawater, showing a significant regression; data represent the average and standard deviations of three replicate PCR reactions.
In order to validate the Luminex assay, each individual probe was tested against a suite of pure clones (or cultures) to confirm signal intensity and specificity. Out of over 40 bacterial probes designed to be specific to various phylotypes, 15 probes exhibited too much signal with non-targets and were discarded. In silico analyses did not reveal any patterns responsible for this non-specific signal, such as lower numbers of base pair mismatches or higher theoretical melting temperature. Based on this result, future probes should always be tested against both target and non-target DNA before being used on environmental samples. If bead array technology is adopted by additional laboratories, we anticipate that an ever-growing set of probes would become available and investigators could select those of particular interest for their respective analyses while developing new probes as needed. Although the instrumentation employed here can only use 100 different probes at a time, multiple sets of probes can be utilized for a given sample and new Luminex instrumentation has the capacity of targeting 500 probes.

In considering the relative merits of the bead array approach, it is important to determine the objectives of the analysis. Like all hybridization methods, bead array analysis only reveals taxa for which probes are included, i.e. the coverage of the community is only as complete as the probe set. If a new species invades the system, its presence (even if common) will go undetected unless alternate methods are used to complement the bead array. On the other hand, if the objective is to study the dynamics of specific taxa, the bead array approach appears to be quite viable. Because individual probes are coupled to different bead colours in separate reactions, signal intensity typically varies among different beads when hybridized to equimolar concentrations of their targets (Diaz and Fell, 2004; Chandler et al., 2006). Quantitative comparisons among taxa based on Luminex fluorescence signal will typically require calibration curves for each taxon/probe combination. In our case however, an ANOVA comparing the Luminex fluorescence signal between taxa detected in the clone libraries and those not detected was statistically significant (Fig. 4), suggesting these comparisons are at least semi-quantitative. A more conservative approach is to compare fluorescence signal for a given taxon over many samples to provide direct quantitative data on the population dynamics of individual taxa (as in Chandler et al., 2006). We first validated this approach by analysing environmental samples. If bead array technology is adopted by additional laboratories, we anticipate that an ever-growing set of probes would become available and investigators could select those of particular interest for their respective analyses while developing new probes as needed.

In every sample, providing statistical accuracy for each probe. The accuracy and reproducibility are also enhanced by the liquid phase kinetics of hybridization that reduce the effects of steric hindrance from solid phase flat arrays (Dunbar, 2006). In addition, a well plate of 96 samples can be assayed (for up to 100 probes) within several hours, providing a large amount of data in a very short time. This combination of reproducibility, multiplex capability, assay versatility and high-throughput capacity makes this method a potentially useful complement to environmental genomics (Handelsman, 2004; Delong, 2007). Due to the prohibitively high costs of deep sequencing, metagenomics is typically performed on relatively few samples to get a better understanding of the sequence diversity within one ecosystem. However, to constrain hypotheses about ecosystem temporal dynamics (or spatial heterogeneity), a methodology that can assay hundreds of samples in a short period of time is equally valuable. In marine microbiology, the fingerprinting method ARISA (Brown et al., 2005) has revolutionized our understanding of seasonal dynamics (Fuhrman et al., 2006) and latitudinal biogeography (Fuhrman et al., 2008) of planktonic marine bacteria. The Luminex assay offers the opportunity to detect both bacteria and eukaryotes, and can also be altered to incorporate functional genes associated with biogeochemical activity as well as for the detection of taxa on different taxonomic levels, similar to probes for fluorescent in situ hybridization (Pernthaler et al., 2001). In addition, although not carried out here, genes with known biogeochemical functions such as nifH (Moisander et al., 2006) and proteorhodopsin (Beja et al., 2001) can also be targeted by PCR and the Luminex assay used to provide functional information.
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Fig. 5. Colour map representing temporal dynamics of 36 Luminex targeted taxa over the course of a 37-day time series (going from left to right) sampled from Scripps pier. Data have been colour-coded from low (green) to medium (black) to high (red) abundance for each taxon (C). Taxa are grouped together (left) based on a hierarchical cluster analysis (D). Chlorophyll a (B) and bacterial abundances (A) from flow cytometry counts are also plotted above.
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Fig. 6. Pairwise correlations among Luminex-targeted taxa with correlation coefficients greater than 0.4 (positive correlation, highlighted in green) or less than −0.4 (negative correlation, highlighted in red). Data are based on 37-day time series of surface water collected at Scripps pier between 18 March and 23 April 2008.
the extracted DNA used in the PCR reaction, this was equivalent to detecting roughly \(10^5\) bacteria ml\(^{-1}\). Since the maximum total bacterial abundance detected during our sampling period was \(5 \times 10^6\) ml\(^{-1}\), it appears that Luminox signal inhibition occurred at abundances greater than that expected in our samples, particularly for a single phytype.

Another aspect of the methodology described here is the data normalization procedure. As described by Chandler and colleagues (2006) in great depth, bead arrays (and all phylogenetic arrays generally) cannot provide absolute abundance data, i.e., comparing the abundance of taxon A versus taxon B in one sample. This is due to the different signal intensities of individual probe–target combinations caused by differences in the base pair composition of the sequence that controls the melting behaviour. Therefore, the more conservative approach is to compare the relative abundance of taxon A over many samples, of taxon B over many samples, etc. In this study, the well-to-well variability of the total fluorescence signal was large in some cases, including the variability between replicates of the same sample. Some wells displayed low signal for all the beads, while other wells displayed high signal for all the beads. We attributed this variability partially to well-to-well differences in the effectiveness of PCR and/or pipetting inaccuracy but more importantly to variation during the post-hybridization washes and staining steps. Artificially high signal can be caused by the staining reagent (streptavidin-phycocerythrin) not being thoroughly washed from a well, and artificially low signal by the staining reagent not being well-mixed into the well. The variation of signal intensity among replicate microarrays is well documented (Spruill et al., 2002) and various normalization procedures are commonly performed to compare them (Do and Choi, 2006). Here, we performed a normalization procedure to account for well-to-well variability in overall signal intensity. We normalized the fluorescence signal of each bead type to the overall fluorescence of the well, the latter calculated by summing the values of all bead types in that well. Although this method does not determine changes in the absolute abundances of taxa in the environment, it allows for a meaningful comparison of the relative changes of taxa over time. In other words, the normalized data represent how a given taxon’s abundance changes relative to the other taxa.

Following validation of the method, we analysed a 37-day time series of surface seawater samples collected from the Scripps pier in Southern California. We uncovered both positive and negative interactions among the Luminox-targeted taxa based on several types of statistical methods including cross-correlations and cluster analyses. Several bacterial phytypes were found to correlate positively with both bacterial abundance and extracted chlorophyll a. These types of bacteria would likely be considered copiotrophs, fast growers that prefer high organic matter environments (Koch, 2001). Consistent with this idea, we found these sequences in our clone libraries from algal bloom samples but not from our non-bloom library. Furthermore, 16S sequences that match these bacterial targets (Polari-37, Bacter-50, Flavo-63, Roseo-11 and Roseo-19) have been found in previous studies of microbial community structure during algal blooms, including those of diatoms (Riemann et al., 2000; Morris et al., 2006; Rink et al., 2007), dinoflagellates (Fandino et al., 2001; Rooney-varga et al., 2005), and other phytoplankton types (Zubkov et al., 2002; Brussaard et al., 2005; Barlaan et al., 2007). Conversely, three bacterial phytypes were found to have negative correlations with bacterial abundance and chlorophyll, including SAR11-13, SAR116-32 and alpha-7. These bacteria would be considered oligotrophs (Koch, 2001), in agreement with measured exponential growth rates of 0.7 day\(^{-1}\) from laboratory cultures of SAR11 isolates (Tripp et al., 2008). Consistent with this hypothesis, oligotrophic isolates targeted by probes SAR116-32 and alpha-7 (HTCC8037 and HTCC7112 respectively) have been successfully cultured using low-nutrient media (Stingl et al., 2007).

One result worthy of note was that bacterial taxa from diverse large taxonomic groups correlated together rather than with members of the same group. For example, only one pair among the four Roseobacter phyotypes was positively correlated \((r = 0.52)\) with one another, one pair among the five Polaribacter phyotypes \((r = 0.52)\), and no Rickettsia phyotypes (Fig. 6). This suggests that using large taxonomic units to infer ecological role may be unreliable, at least for certain groups. Further examinations of the population dynamics of phytypes closely related to one another will be necessary to understand how well 16S DNA sequence similarity can predict ecology, in marine systems as well as other environments.

The data presented here, obtained using a novel high-throughput method, exemplifies how little is currently known about the dynamics of marine microbial communities over space and time. Using an analysis of many more bacterial taxa than achieved here (171), Fuhrman and colleagues (2006) found that bacterial communities were seasonally recurring and predictable based on ocean conditions. Since phytoplankton primary production fuels the surface ocean ecosystem, it is not surprising that including these taxa, as done here, provides valuable data to such analyses. Future work in our laboratory will exploit our newly developed assay to uncover temporal and spatial relationships among both bacterial and eukaryotic microbial taxa, many of the former remaining uncultivated and whose ecosystem roles are unknown. Such data can reveal previously uncharacterized interactions that may be an indication of syntrophy between these organisms.
This information may become useful to help design optimal conditions for growth in order to isolate and culture these microbes and subsequently uncover their physiology and biogeochemical activities.

**Experimental procedures**

**Clone libraries**

Surface water from the Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) Pier (32.86634°, −117.25481°) was collected during (or a few days after) several algal bloom periods, including a period several days after a *Pseudo-nitzschia* diatom bloom (23 March 2006; SIO pier chlorophyll programme), during a *Synechococcus* bloom (May 11, 2006; P. Palenik, unpubl. data), and during a mixed species dinoflagellate bloom (21 May 2007; SIO pier chlorophyll programme). To sample non-bloom communities from a different time of the year, we collected water from eight dates between August and October 2004 and pooled them (after DNA extraction) for a fourth library. Water samples (200 ml) were filtered through 47 mm 0.22-μm-pore-size polycarbonate filters (Millipore) and frozen at −80°C until extraction. DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each oligonucleotide type is conjugated to approximately one million beads in a single reaction. For the bacterial assay, environmental DNA was amplified by PCR (product size ~80 bp) with primers modified from a previous study (Sogin et al., 2006), and the forward primers were biotinylated (Table 3). Eukaryotic primers (product size ~150 bp) were designed with ARB (Table 3). Eukaryotic primers were conjugated to different coloured Luminex xMAP® carboxylated beads (5.6 μm diameter) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Each oligonucleotide type is conjugated to approximately one million beads in a single reaction.

**Assay development**

Probes were conjugated to different coloured Luminex xMAP® carboxylated beads (5.6 μm diameter) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Each oligonucleotide type is conjugated to approximately one million beads in a single reaction. For the bacterial assay, environmental DNA was amplified by PCR (product size ~80 bp) with primers modified from a previous study (Sogin et al., 2006), and the forward primers were biotinylated (Table 3). Eukaryotic primers (product size ~150 bp) were designed with ARB (Table 3). Amplification was initially performed for 35 cycles with 94°C denaturation (30 s), 52°C annealing (45 s) and 72°C extension (1 min) steps. Subsequently, PCR was decreased to 25 cycles of amplification (see Results). Products were checked on agarose gels, and analysed on a Luminex 100 flow cytometer according to published protocols (Lowe et al., 2004), with modifications. Briefly, amplicons were denatured (95°C) for 5 min and incubated in 1× TMAC buffer [3 M tetramethylammonium chloride (TMAC) buffer [3 M tetramethylammonium chloride (TMAC) buffer []}] and added to the global tree using parsimony. Probes were designed with the ARB ‘probe design’ function. This function takes a phylogenetic approach rather than a phenetic one because it groups sequences according to inferred evolutionary relationships rather than simply by sequence similarity. As such, probes were not always specific to the same degree of 16S or 18S sequence diversity (see results and Tables 1 and 2). Sequence diversity for each probe was defined as the amount of 16S or 18S diversity among all the taxa matching the probe sequence within one base pair. For bacteria, the 25 bp probes were designed for the region between *Escherichia coli* numbers 967 and 1046, which is a hyper-variable region commonly used for diversity studies (Sogin et al., 2006). We chose such a small region (<100 bp) because initial experiments showed that short PCR amplicons significantly increased fluorescent signal on the Luminex flow cytometer (data not shown). This region was also variable enough to differentiate closely related bacterial phyotypes. For eukaryotes, the probes were designed for the region between *E. coli* numbers 1193 and 1380, as the 967–1046 region was not variable enough to differentiate many phytoplankton species. Probes were manufactured with a C-12 spacer at the 5′ end (Bioneer Corporation).

**Phylogenetic analysis and probe design**

Sequences were added to a ribosomal RNA database in ARB (Jan04 version), which included additional environmental sequences from marine environments (both from GenBank and the Global Ocean Survey, the latter available at the CAMERA website http://camera.calit2.net/). This database included approximately 59,000 aligned sequences in a global phylogenetic tree (data available from X.M.). Clone library sequences were aligned with the ARB internal aligner, manually checked, and added to the global tree using parsimony. Probes were designed with the ARB ‘probe design’ function. This function takes a phylogenetic approach rather than a phenetic one because it groups sequences according to inferred evolutionary relationships rather than simply by sequence similarity. As such, probes were not always specific to the same degree of 16S or 18S sequence diversity (see results and Tables 1 and 2). Sequence diversity for each probe was defined as the amount of 16S or 18S diversity among all the taxa matching the probe sequence within one base pair. For bacteria, the 25 bp probes were designed for the region between *Escherichia coli* numbers 967 and 1046, which is a hyper-variable region commonly used for diversity studies (Sogin et al., 2006). We chose such a small region (<100 bp) because initial experiments showed that short PCR amplicons significantly increased fluorescent signal on the Luminex flow cytometer (data not shown). This region was also variable enough to differentiate closely related bacterial phyotypes. For eukaryotes, the probes were designed for the region between *E. coli* numbers 1193 and 1380, as the 967–1046 region was not variable enough to differentiate many phytoplankton species. Probes were manufactured with a C-12 spacer at the 5′ end (Bioneer Corporation).

**Table 3. Primers used for Luminex assay to detect bacteria (967F and 1046R) and eukaryotes (1193F and 1380R).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bac967F-1</td>
<td>CAACGCGMARAACCTTACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bac967F-2</td>
<td>ATACSCGHRGAGCCCTACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bac967F-3</td>
<td>ATACCGCGAAACCTTACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bac1046R-1</td>
<td>CGACTYCCATCATCSCACCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bac1046R-2</td>
<td>CGACRGCCATGCSACCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bac1046R-3</td>
<td>CGACAGCCATGCAACACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euk1193F</td>
<td>AACAGGTCTGTAGTGCCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euk1380R</td>
<td>GTGTGCGAAACAGGCAGGA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three different bacterial primers (labelled 1–3 each for forward and reverse) were mixed in equal concentrations to eliminate mismatches and used to amplify all bacterial groups. Numbers refer to *E. coli* 16S nucleotides, and forward primers (denoted by F) were biotinylated.
monium chloride, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 4 mM EDTA (pH 8.0)) at 52°C for 2 h with approximately 1000 beads of each colour (each colour bead carrying a different probe). Incubations were performed in skirted PCR plates covered with plastic film in a thermal cycler. After incubation, samples were washed with fresh TMAC buffer and spun down at 2000 g for 3 min. After removing the supernatant, the beads were incubated for 10 min with streptavidin-phycocerythrin (Invitrogen; 250x dilution, in 1x TMAC buffer) in the dark at 52°C, washed, and resuspended in 50 μl of 1x TMAC buffer. Data acquisition on the Luminex instrument was performed with Luminex software v 1.7, and a minimum of 50 beads of each colour were analysed. Unless otherwise noted, all Luminex signal values are reported as median fluorescence minus control, the latter defined as the median fluorescence from a negative PCR reaction. In addition, data from field samples and standards spiked in seawater (see below) were normalized to the total array fluorescence of each well. This was achieved by summing the fluorescence values for all the bead colours in each well, and normalizing the value of each bead colour to that value with the following calculation:

\[
F_n = \text{normalized fluorescence};
\]

\[
F_p = \text{probe fluorescence} - \text{control};
\]

\[
F_w = \frac{F_p}{F_n};
\]

\[
F_u = F_{p1} + F_{p2} + F_{p3} \ldots
\]

This procedure was necessary to account for well-to-well variations in overall signal intensity, analogous to variations among replicate microarray analyses. After this normalization procedure, data represent relative rather than absolute abundances in a sample.

Sensitivity and accuracy

The first set of bacterial probes was tested against relatively few clones (-20) to determine optimal hybridization temperature yielding highest signal/noise. Hybridization temperatures between 65°C and 45°C were tested every two degrees. After an optimal temperature of 52°C was found, these and all subsequent bacterial probes were tested against 70 different clones from the libraries, including four clones of eukaryotic origin. Eukaryotic probes were tested against 17 taxa (a mixture of clones and cultured isolates).

Two experiments were performed to ascertain the quantification potential of the method. The first experiment was to assay mixtures of rDNA from two different clones quantified post PCR. The number of rDNA copies was calculated based on DNA concentration from purified PCR products (measured with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer, Thermo) and the length of the plasmid plus insert. The second experiment was to determine if the method can detect changes in the abundance of a known target (quantified before PCR) within a mixed sample. This type of spiking experiment more accurately mimics the types of natural samples that we ultimately wanted to be able to analyse. Two different clones were serially diluted over five orders of magnitude into a field sample, PCR amplified, and analysed as described above (using the normalization procedure). All experimental treatments were performed in triplicate, defined here as separate PCR reactions.

Field sample collection and analysis

In addition to testing the Luminex assay on the four samples for which we obtained clone library sequences, we applied the assay to a 37-day time series of surface seawater samples collected from the Scripps Pier between 18 March and 23 April 2008. Surface samples were collected between 12:00 and 16:00 daily, filtered onto 47 mm polycarbonate filters (0.22 μm pore size) and frozen at -80°C within 30 min of collection. DNA was extracted from thawed half-filters as above with a DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen). Duplicate PCR reactions were set up and analysed with the Luminex assay. Since similar volumes of water were filtered (and then extracted) during the time series, we loaded equal volumes (not concentrations) of DNA extracts in the PCR reactions in an attempt to be as quantitative as possible. Data were background subtracted and normalized to array fluorescence (as described above), and the two replicates were averaged. Cross-correlation and cluster analyses among samples and taxa were performed with the statistical software package JMP v.5.0. Correlation coefficients greater than 0.4 were considered strong as in Ideker and colleagues (2001). Extracted chlorophyll a data were obtained from the SCCOOS (Southern California Coastal Ocean Observing System) website (http://www.sccoos.org). We also quantified total bacterial abundances using flow cytometry. Briefly, a 1 ml subsample was fixed with 0.2 μm filtered formalin (2% final concentration) and frozen at -80°C. Samples were thawed on ice, duplicates diluted 10- or 100-fold (depending on the sample) in 1x PBS, and stained with SYBRgreen II nucleic acid dye (Invitrogen) for 15 min in the dark. Samples were enumerated with a FACSscalibur (BD Biosciences) based on forward scatter and green fluorescence. Controls included stained 1x PBS and unstained seawater samples.
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Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:
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